



The Influence of Solvent System on the Performance of Polyvinyl Acetate Phthalate (PVAP) Delayed Release Coating Systems

Kurt Fegely, Viena Dias¹, Laxmikant Patil¹, Vaibhav Ambudkar¹, Ali R. Rajabi Siahboomi, Colorcon, West Point, PA 19486, USA ¹Colorcon Asia Pvt. Limited, Verna, Goa 403 722, India

Abstract Summary

Polyvinyl acetate phthalate (PVAP) or a fully-formulated delayed release coating system based on PVAP was dispersed in different solvents. Dispersion properties and coated tablet delayed release performance were evaluated. The neat polymer and formulated system yielded physically stable solutions and dispersions while the formulated system provided acceptable enteric protection and tablet disintegration.

Introduction

PVAP is a reaction product of phthalic anhydride and polyvinyl alcohol, used in pharmaceutical applications to provide enteric protection to solid dosage forms, or as a core seal-layer in the sugar coating process⁽¹⁾.

Application of enteric coating systems from organic solvent mixtures continue in the marketplace despite well known health and safety challenges associated with the process. These applications are limited, and appear largely due to the moisture sensitivity of certain active pharmaceutical ingredients and, potential long term stability of the finished delayed-release dosage form⁽²⁾. When using an organic system for the application of delayed release polymers, the choice of organic solvent is critical, as the type or ratio of solvents can significantly impact the quality of the resultant film.

One objective of this study was to characterize the effect of solvent type on the apparent dispersion pH and viscosity of the neat polymer, and a fully-formulated delayed release coating system (Opadry[®] Enteric). The second objective was to apply these dispersions to tablets and assess the film coating quality by analyzing enteric protection and disintegration time versus solvent mixture.

Experimental Methods

Composition of Fully-Formulated System

Table 1 summarizes the concentration and function of each ingredient used in the fully-formulated system (Opadry Enteric, Colorcon).

Table 1. An Opadry Enteric Formulation Example

Ingredient	Function
PVAP	Enteric polymer
Titanium dioxide	Pigment
Stearic acid	Processing aid
Diethyl phthalate	Plasticizer

Dispersion Preparation

Polymer solutions or coating dispersions were prepared by dispersing either the neat polymer or the formulated system in a solvent mixture comprising isopropyl alcohol (IPA) and water (88:12 %v/v) or IPA and methylene chloride (MDC) (60:40 %v/v). Mixing was continued at low shear for 45 minutes. When using the IPA:water system, materials were first dispersed in IPA, followed by addition of the water with continued stirring.

Dispersion Characterization

The apparent pH of the coating dispersions was measured at 15% solids with a pH meter (model CL54, Toshniwal Instruments, Mumbai, India) after 45 minutes mixing, and after 72 hours of storage at ambient temperature. The viscosity of each dispersion was determined with a Brookfield DV-II+ viscometer (Middleboro, USA) at similar intervals. Additionally, apparent pH and viscosity profiles were generated at 5, 10, and 15% total solids for the neat polymer and the formulated coating system, in each solvent mixture after 45 minutes mixing.

Tablet Coating

The fully-formulated coating dispersions were applied to 350 mg standard convex placebo tablets (5.2 kp breaking force, 0.12% friability) in a 12-inch conventional coating pan (Bectochem, Mumbai, India) located in a dedicated organic coating facility. Samples were collected for analysis at weight gains (actual) ranging from 5 to 7%.

The coating parameters for the different solvent systems are outlined in Table 2.

Table 2. Coating Process Parameters

Parameter	IPA:Water	IPA:MDC
Pan charge (g)	500	500
Inlet temperature (°C)	56	48
Exhaust temperature (°C)	37	36
Product temperature (°C)	40	38
Fluid delivery rate (g/min.)	2.2	3.2
Pan speed (rpm)	23-24	25-26
Atomization air pressure (bar)	2	2
Coating solids content (%)	15	6
Coating process time (5% wg, min.)	75	130

Disintegration Testing

Enteric coated tablets were reciprocated for 2 hours in 0.1N hydrochloric acid (HCl) using a USP compliant disintegration apparatus. Following this interval the samples were examined for any visual signs of “cracking, disintegrating, or softening” which would indicate a failure in enteric protection⁽³⁾. Samples were then transferred to phosphate buffer pH 6.8 USP, and the time for complete tablet disintegration determined.

Results and Discussions

The apparent pH of the coating dispersions depended on the choice of solvent system. However the apparent pH and viscosity of the coating dispersions exhibited no substantial change after 72 hours of storage, irrespective of the solvent mixture utilized to prepare the Opadry Enteric dispersion (Tables 3 and 4).

Table 3. Apparent pH of Dispersions (15% w/w)


Solvent system	Initial	After 72 hours
IPA:Water	4.25	4.15
IPA:MDC	3.92	3.92

Table 4. Dispersion (15% w/w) Viscosity

Solvent system	Initial	After 72 hours
IPA:Water	22 cp	23 cp
IPA:MDC	17 cp	18 cp


Increasing the concentration of PVAP or the formulated coating system resulted in a decrease in the apparent pH of the dispersion (Figure 1). Higher pH values were found for samples prepared in IPA:Water compared to IPA:MDC.

Figure 1. Solids Content vs. Apparent pH



Solution viscosity increased as the polymer concentration increased, irrespective of the solvent mixture used (Figure 2). A higher solution viscosity was obtained when PVAP was dissolved in IPA:MDC in comparison to IPA:Water at 15% solids content. This trend was not observed for the formulated systems.

Figure 2. Solids Content vs. Viscosity



Enteric protection was achieved for placebo tablets coated with Opadry Enteric from either IPA:Water or IPA:MDC as the solvent mixture, and across various weight gains (Table 5). Reproducible tablet disintegration was observed in phosphate buffer 6.8 with the time for disintegration slightly increasing as the actual coating weight gain increased.

Table 5. Delayed Release Disintegration

Weight Gain (Actual)	Enteric Protection	Disintegration Time (min)
Uncoated placebo	N/A	1.9 ± 0.20
IPA:Water		
5%	PASS	10.66 ± 0.92
6%	PASS	10.83 ± 1.00
7%	PASS	14.32 ± 0.20
IPA:MDC		
5%	PASS	8.89 ± 1.29
6%	PASS	10.26 ± 1.32
7%	PASS	10.48 ± 1.62

Conclusion

The choice of organic solvent system used affected viscosity and pH of solutions and dispersions of both neat PVAP and a fully-formulated Opadry Enteric coating system. The most significant difference in viscosity was observed at the highest solids content (15%), where PVAP dissolved in IPA:MDC generated a higher viscosity than PVAP dissolved in IPA:Water. Opadry Enteric provided enteric protection and reproducible disintegration irrespective of the solvent system, at coating levels as low as 5% weight gain.

References

1. Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients, "Polyvinyl Acetate Phthalate", Pharmaceutical Press, 5th edition, 2006, p. 589-591.
2. K. Thoma, K. Bechtold, "Influence of aqueous coatings on the stability of enteric coated pellets and tablets", Eur. J. Journal of Pharm Biopharm, 47(1999) 39-50.
3. USP-NF 24 Online, "Delayed Release (Enteric Coated Tablets), General Chapter <701>, Disintegration.

World Headquarters

Colorcon

415 Moyer Blvd., P.O. Box 24, West Point, PA 19486-0024

Tel: 215-699-7733 Fax: 215-661-2605 Web Site @<http://www.colorcon.com> E.mail: modified_release@colorcon.com

Locations	Telephone	Facsimile	Locations	Telephone	Facsimile
<i>United States</i>			<i>Asia/Pacific</i>		
Santa Ana, California	714-549-0631	714-549-4921	Singapore	65-6438-0318	65-6438-0178
Indianapolis, Indiana	317-545-6211	317-545-6218	Nishiyama, Japan	81-5-4465-2711	81-5-4465-2730
Humacao, Puerto Rico	787-852-3815	787-852-0030	Shanghai, China	86-21-5442-2222	86-21-5442-2229
Quebec, Canada	514-337-8341	514-337-9159	Goa, India	91-832-288-3434	91-832-288-3440
			Seoul, Korea	82-2-2057-2713	82-2-2057-2179
<i>Europe</i>			<i>Latin America</i>		
Dartford, Kent, England	44-1322-293000	44-1322-627200	Buenos Aires, Argentina	54-911-4552-1565	54-911-4552-3997
Bouguival, France	33-1-3082-1582	33-1-3082-7879	Cotia, Brasil	55-11-4612-4262	55-11-4612-3307
Idstein, Germany	49-6126-9961-0	49-6126-9961-11	Bogota, Colombia	571-418-1202	571-418-1257
Gallarate, Italy	39-0331-776932	39-0331-776831	Santa Fe, Mexico	525-5-3000-5700	525-5-3000-5701
Budapest, Hungary	36-1-200-8000	36-1-200-8010	Caracas, Venezuela	58-212-442-4819	58-212-442-8724
Barcelona, Spain	34-9-3589-3756	34-9-3589-3792			
Istanbul, Turkey	90-216-465-0360	90-216-465-0361			

The information contained herein, to the best of our knowledge is true and accurate. Any recommendations or suggestions are made without warranty or guarantee, since the conditions of use are beyond our control. Any information contained herein is intended as a recommendation for use of our products so as not to infringe on any patent.

© Colorcon, 2007. The information contained in this document is proprietary to Colorcon and may not be used or disseminated inappropriately.

Opadry® is a registered trademark of BPSI Holdings LLC.

mr/crs_2007/Opadry_enteric_kf/07.2007